To: Marc Coronado, LEAD Coordinator
From: Lisa Ly, Research Analyst
Date: October 17, 2016
Subject: LEAD Analysis, Winter 2012-Spring 2016
This report builds off of the Hanover LEAD Report ${ }^{1}$ by providing more recent demographic, enrollment, and academic outcomes. Additionally, the English basic skills completion rate of LEAD versus nonLEAD students is examined. In this report, a LEAD student is defined as a student who enrolled in at least two LEAD courses between winter 2012 and spring 2016 ( 4.5 year period), with LEAD courses selfreported by the instructors. Non-LEAD consists of students who enrolled in similar LEAD courses, but are non-LEAD sections. In order to keep the comparison groups mutually exclusive, students who enrolled in only one LEAD course were removed from the analysis. Given these criteria, there are a total of 397 LEAD and 35,356 non-LEAD students.

## Key Findings

Age: The majority of LEAD (90\%) and non-LEAD (74\%) students are between the ages of 18 to 24 years.
Gender and Ethnicity: LEAD students consists of mostly females (58\%), whereas non-LEAD is mostly males (53\%). Latino/a students account for the majority of LEAD students (44\%), and Asian students comprise the majority of non-LEAD students (39\%).
Low-Income Status: There are more LEAD students who reported their household income is $\$ 24,999$ or less than compared to non-LEAD students, $26 \%$ vs. $20 \%$.
Highest Educational Attainment: Both LEAD (95\%) and non-LEAD (90\%) students reported their highest educational attainment is a high school diploma or equivalent.

First-Generation College: There are more LEAD than non-LEAD students who reported they are firstgeneration college students, $36 \%$ vs. $25 \%$.

Educational Goal: The majority of LEAD (95\%) and non-LEAD (84\%) students indicated their educational goal is to transfer to a four-year institution.
Special Student Groups and F1 Visa: About 7\% or fewer LEAD and non-LEAD students have enrolled in CalWORKs, DSPS, EOPS, foster youth, veterans, or international student programs.
Financial Aid: LEAD students are more likely to receive some form of financial aid (60\%) than compared to non-LEAD (50\%) students.

Course Load: LEAD students are just as likely to be full-time (51\%) as part-time (49\%). In contrast, nonLEAD students are more likely to be part-time (54\%).
Major Selected: The top three majors selected by LEAD and non-LEAD students are Business Administration, Social and Behavioral Sciences, and Science, Math, and Engineering.

[^0]Enrollment by Division: LEAD and non-LEAD students enroll in courses that fall under Social Sciences and Humanities, Language Arts, and Physical Sciences, Math, and Engineering divisions.

Enrollment in Basic Skills Course: There is a higher percentage of LEAD than non-LEAD students who have enrolled in a basic skills course, $85 \%$ vs. $62 \%$.

Course Outcomes: LEAD and non-LEAD students exhibit the same course success rates ( $76 \%$ and $77 \%$ ), and comparable non-success ( $15 \%$ and $13 \%$ ) and withdraw rates ( $9 \%$ and $10 \%$ ).

Course Success by Ethnicity: African American and Filipino LEAD students have higher course success rates than their non-LEAD counterpart, which suggests that the LEAD familia pedagogy may be of particular benefit to these two ethnic groups. There was no difference in the success rates of Latino/a LEAD and non-LEAD students.

| African American: | $76 \%$ LEAD vs. $67 \%$ non-LEAD |
| ---: | :--- |
| Asian: | $81 \%$ LEAD vs. $81 \%$ non-LEAD |
| Filipino: | $78 \%$ LEAD vs. $75 \%$ non-LEAD |
| Latino/a: | $69 \%$ LEAD vs. $69 \%$ non-LEAD |
| White: | $83 \%$ LEAD vs. $78 \%$ non-LEAD |

English Basic Skills Completion: LEAD students have higher persistence, course success, and completion rates than non-LEAD students. Among those who completed the English basic skills sequence, the majority of students enrolled in EWRT 1A in the term immediately following completion of EWRT or LART 211.

| Cohort <br> starting at 1 below; <br> tracked for two terms) | Persistence Rate <br> (211 to 1A) | Average Course <br> Success Rate | English Basic Skills <br> Completion Rate |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2013 | $38 \%$ LEAD vs. | $86 \%$ LEAD vs. | 28\% LEAD vs. |
|  | $45 \%$ non-LEAD | $83 \%$ non-LEAD | $31 \%$ non-LEAD |
| Fall 2014 | 78\% LEAD vs. | 93\% LEAD vs. | 67\% LEAD vs. |
|  | 50\% non-LEAD | $80 \%$ non-LEAD | $32 \%$ non-LEAD |
| Fall 2015 | 75\% LEAD vs. | 82\% LEAD vs. | $50 \%$ LEAD vs. |
|  | 54\% non-LEAD | $84 \%$ non-LEAD | $38 \%$ non-LEAD |

Two-Term Academic Probation: There are more LEAD students who have been on two-term academic probation than compared to non-LEAD students, $15 \%$ vs. $12 \%$.

Credits Attempted, Credits Earned, and Cumulative GPA: LEAD students attempt and earn more units than non-LEAD students. Non-LEAD students have higher GPAs than LEAD students.

Attempted Units (median): 96.5 LEAD vs. 85.5 non-LEAD
Earned Units (median): 77.0 LEAD vs. 63.0 non-LEAD
Cumulative GPA (3.0 to 4.0 ): $43 \%$ LEAD vs. $47 \%$ non-LEAD
Degree and Certificate Completion: LEAD students attain degrees and certificates at a lower rate than non-LEAD students: $10 \%$ vs. $13 \%$ (degree) and $1 \%$ vs. $2 \%$ (certificate).

Transfer: Thus far, 115 out of the 397 LEAD students have transferred to a four-year college or university. The majority of these students ( $82 \%$ ) transferred to a public four-year institution located primarily in California.
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## Demographics

Age

- LEAD students skew younger than non-LEAD students with $90 \%$ of LEAD students between the ages of 18 and 24 . In comparison, $74 \%$ of non-LEAD students fall into this age group.
- LEAD students are less likely than non-LEAD students to be 25 to 34 years old, $7 \%$ vs. $20 \%$.

Figure 1


Gender and Ethnicity

- There are more female than male LEAD students, $58 \%$ vs. $42 \%$. Non-LEAD students are more likely to be male than female, $53 \%$ vs. $47 \%$.
- Most LEAD students are Latino/as (44\%), whereas most non-LEAD students are Asians (39\%).
- There are more Latino/as in the LEAD group than non-LEAD group, $44 \%$ vs. $25 \%$.
- African Americans and Filipinos representation in the LEAD group is similar to the nonLEAD group, $4 \%$ and 7\%.
- There are fewer Asian and White students in the LEAD group (30\% and 12\%) than compared to the non-LEAD group ( $39 \%$ and $19 \%$ ).

Figure 2


Figure 3


Other includes Native American, Pacific Islander, and decline to state.

Female Students by Ethnicity
Disaggregating ethnicity by gender reveals consistent findings in the aforementioned section.

- Female students in LEAD sections consist mostly of Latina students (52\%), and female students in non-LEAD sections are mostly Asian students (39\%).
- There are more Latina LEAD students than non-LEAD students, $52 \%$ vs. $27 \%$.
- The proportions of female African American (5\%) and Filipina (7\%) students are the same for LEAD and non-LEAD.
- There are fewer female Asian and White LEAD students ( $24 \%$ and $10 \%$ ) than non-LEAD students (39\% and 18\%).
Table 1: LEAD Female Students

| Female | LEAD | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Latina | 118 | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ |
| Asian | 54 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| White | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Filipina | 15 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| African American | 11 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Other* | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 2: Non-LEAD Female Students

| Female | Non-LEAD | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 6,253 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| Latina | 4,384 | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ |
| White | 2,948 | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| Filipina | 1,183 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| African American | 765 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Other* | 539 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 0 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

*Other includes Native American, Pacific Islander, and decline to state.

## Male Students by Ethnicity

- Unlike their female counterpart, male LEAD students are more likely to be Asian (39\%). Male non-LEAD students, like their female counterpart, are more likely to be Asian (42\%).
- Latino comprises the second largest ethnic group among male LEAD students (33\%) and male non-LEAD students (24\%).
- African American males represent 4\% of LEAD and non-LEAD students. The proportion of Filipino males is slighter higher for LEAD (9\%) than non-LEAD (7\%).
Table 3: LEAD Male Students

| Male | LEAD | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 66 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| Latino | 56 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |
| White | 22 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| Filipino | 15 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| African American | 6 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Other* | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| Male | Non-LEAD | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 7,630 | 42\% |
| Latino | 4,308 | 24\% |
| White | 3,611 | 20\% |
| Filipino | 1,286 | 7\% |
| African American | 808 | 4\% |
| Other* | 612 | 3\% |
| Total | 18,255 | 100\% |

*Other includes Native American, Pacific Islander, and decline to state.

## Low-Income Status

- The majority of LEAD and non-LEAD students reported their annual household income is not below the low-income threshold of $\$ 24,999$ (figure 4). LEAD students ( $26 \%$ ), however, are more likely to report low-income status than non-LEAD (20\%).
- Table 5 disaggregates the ethnicity of low-income students. Latino/as account for more than half (55\%) of all low-income LEAD students, a difference of 23 percentage points when compared to non-LEAD (32\%).
- Although African Americans account for only 4\% of LEAD students, the majority of them (14 out of 17) are low-income. They represent $13 \%$ of low-income LEAD students compared to $8 \%$ of nonLEAD students.

Figure 4


Table 5: Low-Income by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| African American | 14 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | 537 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Asian | 22 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | 2,510 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ |
| Filipino | 5 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | 360 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Latino/a | 58 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| White | 6 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 1198 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Other includes Native American, Pacific Islander, and decline to state.

## Highest Educational Attainment

- The majority of LEAD (95\%) and non-LEAD (90\%) students reported their highest educational attainment is a high school diploma or equivalent.
- Non-LEAD students are more likely than LEAD students to report they have a bachelor's degree or higher, $3 \%$ vs. $1 \%$.

Figure 5
Highest Educational Attainment: LEAD vs. Non-LEAD


## First-Generation College

- There are more LEAD (36\%) than non-LEAD (25\%) students who reported they are firstgeneration college students.
- As denoted in table 6, Latino/as account for the majority (73\%) of first-generation college LEAD students, a difference of 25 percentage points when compared to non-LEAD ( $48 \%$ ) students.

Figure 6


Table 6: First-Generation College Student by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| African American | 4 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | 387 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Asian | 23 | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | 2,839 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |
| Filipino | 4 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | 281 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Latino/a | 105 | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 0 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ |
| White | 7 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | 836 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Other | 0 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | 173 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

First-generation college students are those whose parents' highest educational attainment is high school or less.

## Enrollment

## Educational Goal

- The educational goal selected by most LEAD (95\%) and non-LEAD (84\%) students is transferring to a four-year institution.
- There is not much difference between LEAD and non-LEAD students regarding a degree or certificate goal.

Figure 7


All Other includes advance in current job/career, complete credits for high school diploma/GED, improve basic skills, and educational developments/ personal enrichment.

## Special Student Groups and F1/FZ Visa International Students

- The majority of LEAD and non-LEAD students (93\% or higher) are not enrolled in student services programs such as CalWORKs, DSPS, EOPS, foster youth, veteran, or international student.
- Some differences include the following: there are slightly more LEAD than non-LEAD students in the EOPS program ( $6 \%$ vs. $4 \%$ ), and fewer LEAD than non-LEAD international students ( $4 \%$ vs. $7 \%$ ).

Table 7: Special Student Groups

|  | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment | Percent | Enrollment | Percent |
| CalWORks |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 2 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | 189 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| No | 397 | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ | 34,406 | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 399 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 34,595 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| DSPS |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 14 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ | 1,262 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| No | 397 | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | 34,227 | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 411 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 35,489 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| EOPS |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 27 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 1,513 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| No | 397 | $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ | 34,235 | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 424 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 35,748 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


|  | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment | Percent | Enrollment | Percent |
| Foster Youth |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 9 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | 657 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| No | 396 | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ | 34,328 | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 405 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 34,985 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Veterans |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 5 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | 721 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| No | 393 | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ | 33,843 | $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 398 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 34,564 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| F1 Visa |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 18 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | 2,472 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| No | 385 | $\mathbf{9 6 \%}$ | 32,461 | $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ |
| Subgroup Total | 403 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 34,933 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Participation in the special student groups listed in table 7 is contignent on meeting minimum requirements each term. Results reflect duplicated counts of LEAD and non-LEAD students who participated in these programs each term.

## Financial Aid Award

- A higher percentage of LEAD students are awarded Pell Grants than non-LEAD students, $26 \%$ vs. $20 \%$. Similarly, LEAD students are more likely to receive financial aid other than a Pell grant (Other Aid) than non-LEAD students, $34 \%$ vs. $30 \%$. Combined, there are more LEAD than nonLEAD students who receive some form of financial aid, $60 \%$ vs. $50 \%$.

Figure 8


## Course Load: Full-time/Part-time

- LEAD students are just as likely to enroll full-time as they are part-time, $51 \%$ and $49 \%$. In contrast, non-LEAD students are more likely to enroll part-time (54\%) than full-time (46\%).

Figure 9


## Selected Major

- In general, LEAD and non-LEAD students select similar majors, particularly in Business Administration and Social and Behavioral Sciences.

Table 8: Top 10 Majors Selected by LEAD Students

|  | Major Selected | LEAD | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Liberal Arts: Social \& Behavioral Sciences | 58 | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| 2 | Business Administration for Transfer | 46 | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| 3 | Business Administration | 33 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| 4 | Liberal Arts: Science, Math \& Engineering | 29 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 5 | Registered Nurse | 27 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| 6 | Biological Sciences | 25 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 7 | Liberal Arts: Arts \& Letters | 17 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 8 | Liberal Arts: Business \& CIS | 15 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 9 | Sociology for Transfer | 13 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 10 | Kinesiology for Transfer | 11 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |

Table 9: Top 10 Majors Selected by Non-LEAD Students

|  | Major Selected | Non-LEAD | Percent |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Business Administration | 4,052 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 2 | Liberal Arts: Social \& Behavioral Sciences | 2,566 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 3 | Liberal Arts: Science, Math \& Engineering | 2,551 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 4 | Biological Sciences | 2,443 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 5 | Business Administration for Transfer | 1,773 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 6 | Registered Nurse | 1,633 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| 7 | Liberal Arts: Business \& CIS | 1,113 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 8 | Liberal Arts: Arts \& Letters | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 9 | Child Development | $\mathbf{7 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| 10 | Accounting - Practice | $\mathbf{7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

## Enrollment by Division

- LEAD and non-LEAD students enroll in courses that fall under Social Sciences and Humanities (24\%), Language Arts (20\%), and Physical Sciences/Math/Engineering (18\%) divisions.

Table 10: LEAD Enrollment by Division

| Code | Enrollment by Division | LEAD | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2SS | Social Sciences and Humanities | 2,351 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| 2LA | Language Arts | 1,834 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| 2PS | Physical Sciences/Math/Engineering | 1,675 | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 2IC | Intercultural/International Studies | 882 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| 2PE | Physical Education/Athletics | 597 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2BH | Biological, Health, Environmental Sciences, <br> Workforce Education | 528 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2CB | Business/Computer Systems | 500 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| 2CA | Creative Arts | 486 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| 2ST | Student Services | 349 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 2LR | Learning Resources | 79 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 2SE | Disability Support Programs and Services | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2AT | Applied Technologies | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2LB | Library Services | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{9 , 3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 11: Non-LEAD Enrollment by Division

| Code | Enrollment by Division | Non-LEAD | Percent |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2SS | Social Sciences and Humanities | 132,539 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| 2PS | Physical Sciences/Math/Engineering | 109,709 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| 2LA | Language Arts | 100,249 | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| 2CB | Business/Computer Systems | 48,955 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| 2BH | Biological, Health, Environmental Sciences, <br> Workforce Education | 38,348 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| 2PE | Physical Education/Athletics | 36,211 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2IC | Intercultural/International Studies | 33,593 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2CA | Creative Arts | 32,724 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2ST | Student Services | 17,097 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 2AT | Applied Technologies | 4,162 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 2LR | Learning Resources | 2,743 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2SE | Disability Support Programs and Services | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2LB | Library Services | 581 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
|  | Total | 559,253 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

## Enrollment in Basic Skills Course

- LEAD students are more likely than non-LEAD to enroll in a basic skills course ${ }^{2}, 85 \%$ vs. $62 \%$.
- Table 12 provides basic skills enrollment by department. With the exception of ESL, LEAD students have higher basic skills course enrollment in the following departments:
- EWRT basic skills enrollment: $32 \%$ LEAD vs. $25 \%$ non-LEAD
- READ basic skills enrollment: $24 \%$ LEAD vs. $20 \%$ non-LEAD
- LART basic skills enrollment : $4 \%$ LEAD vs. $2 \%$ non-LEAD
- MATH basic skills enrollment: 32\% LEAD vs. 29\% non-LEAD

[^1]Figure 10


Table 12: Basic Skills Enrollment by Department

| Department |  |  |  | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment | Percent | Enrollment | Percent |  |  |  |
| ESL | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 12,280 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| EWRT | 302 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | 12,725 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| READ | 226 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | 10,316 | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| LART | 42 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | 1,226 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| MATH | 306 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | 14,914 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 954 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 51,461 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |

Students who enrolled in basic skills were further disaggregated by ethnicity to determine if they differed from the ethnic makeup of LEAD and non-LEAD student groups (table 13).

- Latino/as are the only ethnic group to have a higher representation in basic skills than compared to their representation in LEAD and non-LEAD.
- They account for $44 \%$ of LEAD students, but $46 \%$ of basic skills LEAD students.
- Similarly, they account for $25 \%$ of non-LEAD students, but $29 \%$ of its basic skills students.

| Ethnicity | LEAD |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  | Basic Skills Enrollment |  | All Students |  | Basic Skills Enrollment |  |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| African American | 17 | 4\% | 11 | 3\% | 1,580 | 4\% | 997 | 5\% |
| Asian | 120 | 30\% | 103 | 31\% | 13,937 | 39\% | 8,411 | 40\% |
| Filipino | 30 | 8\% | 25 | 7\% | 2,486 | 7\% | 1,547 | 7\% |
| Latino/a | 174 | 44\% | 154 | 46\% | 8,733 | 25\% | 6,160 | 29\% |
| White | 46 | 12\% | 35 | 10\% | 6,595 | 19\% | 3,371 | 16\% |
| Other | 10 | 3\% | 8 | 2\% | 2,025 | 6\% | 789 | 4\% |
| Total | 397 | 100\% | 336 | 100\% | 35,356 | 100\% | 21,275 | 100\% |

## Academic Outcomes

## Course Outcomes

The course outcomes for LEAD and non-LEAD students are very similar.

- They have the same success rates ( $76 \%$ and $77 \%$ ), and comparable non-success ( $15 \%$ and $13 \%$ ) and withdraw rates ( $9 \%$ and $10 \%$ ).

Figure 11


Success reflect course grades of A, B, C, or Pass. Non-Success reflect grades of D, F, Not Pass, or Incomplete.

## Course Success by Ethnicity

Disaggregating course success by ethnicity reveals some variation in outcomes for LEAD and non-LEAD students.

- LEAD vs. Non-LEAD
- Using the LEAD average success rate of $76 \%$ as a benchmark, all ethnic groups' success rates fall above this rate. The only exception is Latino/as whose success rate is $69 \%$.
- Among non-LEAD students, African American (67\%), Filipino (75\%) and Latino/a (69\%) students' success rates fall below the average rate of $77 \%$.
- Comparison of Ethnic Groups
- African American LEAD students have a higher success rate (76\%) than their non-LEAD counterpart (67\%). This finding is consistent for Filipinos as well. Filipino LEAD students have a higher success rate than non-LEAD students, $78 \%$ vs. $75 \%$.
- There is no difference in success rates among Latino/a LEAD and non-LEAD students; they have a success rate of $69 \%$.
- This finding suggests all other factors aside, the LEAD familia pedagogy may be beneficial to African American and Filipino students.

| Ethnicity | LEAD |  |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Success |  | Non-Success |  | Withdrew |  | Success |  | Non-Success |  | Withdrew |  |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| African American | 327 | 76\% | 72 | 17\% | 30 | 7\% | 15,854 | 67\% | 4,622 | 20\% | 3,022 | 13\% |
| Asian | 2,368 | 81\% | 315 | 11\% | 237 | 8\% | 198,494 | 81\% | 24,149 | 10\% | 21,055 | 9\% |
| Filipino | 548 | 78\% | 102 | 14\% | 54 | 8\% | 29,765 | 75\% | 5,759 | 14\% | 4,338 | 11\% |
| Latino/a | 2,872 | 69\% | 819 | 20\% | 457 | 11\% | 90,737 | 69\% | 24,609 | 19\% | 16,357 | 12\% |
| White | 773 | 83\% | 82 | 9\% | 71 | 8\% | 75,842 | 78\% | 11,337 | 12\% | 10,222 | 10\% |
| Other | 158 | 78\% | 32 | 16\% | 13 | 6\% | 18,048 | 78\% | 2,965 | 13\% | 2,078 | 9\% |
| Average Rate | 7,046 | 76\% | 1,422 | 15\% | 862 | 9\% | 428,740 | 77\% | 73,441 | 13\% | 57,072 | 10\% |

## Course Success by Ethnicity and Gender

- For African American and Filipino LEAD students, regardless of gender, they have higher success rates than their non-LEAD counterparts.
- While there are more female than male LEAD students, Latino male LEAD students appear to benefit from the familia pedagogy as their course success rate (71\%) is higher than their female counterpart ( $69 \%$ ). Additionally, Latino male LEAD students have a higher success rate than their non-LEAD male counterpart (68\%).

Table 15: Course Success by Ethnicity and Gender

| Ethnicity and Gender | LEAD |  |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Success |  | Non-Success |  | Withdrew |  | Success |  | Non-Success |  | Withdrew |  |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| African American |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 167 | 75\% | 40 | 18\% | 15 | 7\% | 7,508 | 69\% | 2,080 | 19\% | 1,358 | 12\% |
| Male | 160 | 77\% | 32 | 15\% | 15 | 7\% | 8,285 | 66\% | 2,522 | 20\% | 1,657 | 13\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 327 | 76\% | 72 | 17\% | 30 | 7\% | 15,793 | 67\% | 4,602 | 20\% | 3,015 | 13\% |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,143 | 83\% | 117 | 9\% | 113 | 8\% | 90,864 | 84\% | 8,759 | 8\% | 8,065 | 7\% |
| Male | 1,225 | 79\% | 198 | 13\% | 124 | 8\% | 107,095 | 79\% | 15,328 | 11\% | 12,935 | 10\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 2,368 | 81\% | 315 | 11\% | 237 | 8\% | 197,959 | 81\% | 24,087 | 10\% | 21,000 | 9\% |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 283 | 80\% | 49 | 14\% | 23 | 6\% | 14,353 | 76\% | 2,530 | 13\% | 1,914 | 10\% |
| Male | 265 | 76\% | 53 | 15\% | 31 | 9\% | 15,247 | 73\% | 3,206 | 15\% | 2,405 | 12\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 548 | 78\% | 102 | 14\% | 54 | 8\% | 29,600 | 75\% | 5,736 | 14\% | 4,319 | 11\% |
| Latino/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,919 | 69\% | 552 | 20\% | 329 | 12\% | 47,838 | 70\% | 12,059 | 18\% | 8,370 | 12\% |
| Male | 953 | 71\% | 267 | 20\% | 128 | 9\% | 42,419 | 68\% | 12,430 | 20\% | 7,910 | 13\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 2,872 | 70\% | 819 | 20\% | 457 | 11\% | 90,257 | 69\% | 24,489 | 19\% | 16,280 | 12\% |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 376 | 85\% | 38 | 9\% | 28 | 6\% | 34,258 | 81\% | 4,117 | 10\% | 4,019 | 9\% |
| Male | 397 | 82\% | 44 | 9\% | 43 | 9\% | 41,225 | 76\% | 7,163 | 13\% | 6,150 | 11\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 773 | 84\% | 82 | 9\% | 71 | 8\% | 75,483 | 78\% | 11,280 | 12\% | 10,169 | 10\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 99 | 80\% | 18 | 15\% | 7 | 6\% | 7,041 | 80\% | 1,027 | 12\% | 718 | 8\% |
| Male | 59 | 75\% | 14 | 18\% | 6 | 8\% | 7,705 | 77\% | 1,365 | 14\% | 919 | 9\% |
| Subgroup Rate | 158 | 77\% | 32 | 16\% | 13 | 7\% | 14,746 | 78\% | 2,392 | 13\% | 1,637 | 9\% |
| Average Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 3,987 | 75\% | 814 | 15\% | 515 | 10\% | 201,862 | 79\% | 30,572 | 12\% | 24,444 | 10\% |
| Male | 3,059 | 76\% | 608 | 15\% | 347 | 9\% | 221,976 | 75\% | 42,014 | 14\% | 31,976 | 11\% |

Course Success by Low-Income and First-Generation College

- Low-income LEAD and non-LEAD students complete courses at similar rates, $72 \%$ vs. $71 \%$.
- First-generation college LEAD and non-LEAD students complete courses at comparable rates as well, $72 \%$ vs. $73 \%$.

Table 16: Course Success by Low-Income Status

| Low-Income | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| Success | 1,615 | $72 \%$ | 77,552 | $71 \%$ |
| Non-Success | 393 | $17 \%$ | 17,613 | $16 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 244 | $11 \%$ | 14,158 | $13 \%$ |
| Total | 2,252 | $100 \%$ | 109,323 | $100 \%$ |

Table 17: Course Success by First-Generation College

| First-Generation <br> College Students | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| Success | 2,484 | $72 \%$ | 103,399 | $73 \%$ |
| Non-Success | 595 | $17 \%$ | 21,717 | $15 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 370 | $11 \%$ | 16,366 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 3,449 | $100 \%$ | 141,482 | $100 \%$ |

## English Basic Skills Completion

## Starting at EWRT/LART 211 (1 below)

This section examines the English basic skills completion of LEAD and non-LEAD students, starting at EWRT/LART 211 (1 below). ${ }^{3}$ The analysis reflects first-time enrollees in EWRT or LART 211 for the following fall terms: 2013, 2014, and 2015. These cohorts were tracked for two terms (figure 12).

- LEAD students have higher enrollment rates in EWRT 1A than compared to non-LEAD students. The only exception was fall 2013.
- Fall 2013 cohort: 38\% LEAD vs. $45 \%$ non-LEAD
- Fall 2014 cohort: 78\% LEAD vs. 50\% non-LEAD
- Fall 2015 cohort: $75 \%$ LEAD vs. $54 \%$ non-LEAD
- LEAD students have higher course success rates in EWRT/LART 211 than non-LEAD.
- Fall 2013 cohort: 89\% LEAD vs. 80\% non-LEAD
- Fall 2014 cohort: 92\% LEAD vs. $76 \%$ non-LEAD
- Fall 2015 cohort: 83\% LEAD vs. $77 \%$ non-LEAD
- While LEAD fall 2013 and 2015 cohorts' success rate in EWRT 1A trails non-LEAD students, in general, LEAD's average course success rate is higher than non-LEAD.
- Fall 2013 cohort: average rates are 86\% LEAD vs. 83\% non-LEAD
- Fall 2014 cohort: average rates are $93 \%$ LEAD vs. $80 \%$ non-LEAD
- Fall 2015 cohort: average rates are $82 \%$ LEAD vs. $84 \%$ non-LEAD
- With the exception of fall 2013, LEAD students completed their English basic skills sequence at a higher rate than non-LEAD students.
- Fall 2013 cohort: completion rate is $28 \%$ LEAD vs. $31 \%$ non-LEAD
- Fall 2014 cohort: completion rate is $67 \%$ LEAD vs. $32 \%$ non-LEAD
- Fall 2015 cohort: completion rate is 50\% LEAD vs. $38 \%$ non-LEAD

Figure 12


[^2]When consecutive term enrollment is not a consideration, the English basic skills sequence completion rate increases for both LEAD and non-LEAD students. In table 18, each cohort was tracked through spring 2016.

- With the time constraint removed, LEAD students have a higher English basic skills completion rate than non-LEAD students. Most LEAD and non-LEAD students passed EWRT 1A in the term immediately following completion of EWRT/LART 211.
- Fall 2013 cohort: completion rate is 67\% LEAD vs. 60\% non-LEAD
- Fall 2014 cohort: completion rate is 90\% LEAD vs. 58\% non-LEAD
- Fall 2015 cohort: completion rate is $54 \%$ LEAD vs. $49 \%$ non-LEAD

Table 18: English Basic Skills Completion (tracked through spring 2016)

|  |  | Fall 2013 | 3 Cohort |  |  | Fall 201 | 4 Cohort |  |  | Fall 201 | 5 Cohort |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEAD | Percent | Non-LEAD | Percent | LEAD | Percent | Non-LEAD | Percent | LEAD | Percent | Non-LEAD | Percent |
| English Basic Skills Completion | 12 | 67\% | 565 | 60\% | 35 | 90\% | 534 | 58\% | 13 | 54\% | 508 | 49\% |
| Time to Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (after passing EWRT/LART 211) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 quarter later | 5 | 42\% | 292 | 52\% | 26 | 74\% | 300 | 56\% | 12 | 92\% | 398 | 78\% |
| 2 quarters later | 5 | 42\% | 145 | 26\% | 4 | 11\% | 133 | 25\% | 1 | 8\% | 110 | 22\% |
| 3 quarters later | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 4\% | 3 | 9\% | 10 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 4 quarters later | 1 | 8\% | 57 | 10\% | 1 | 3\% | 58 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 5 or more quarters later | 1 | 8\% | 48 | 8\% | 1 | 3\% | 33 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 12 | 100\% | 565 | 100\% | 35 | 100\% | 534 | 100\% | 13 | 100\% | 508 | 100\% |
| Never Enrolled in EWRT 1A | 2 | 11\% | 271 | 29\% | 2 | 5\% | 279 | 30\% | 7 | 29\% | 467 | 45\% |
| Original Cohort | 18 |  | 941 |  | 39 | 924 |  |  | 24 | 1,038 |  |  |

## Two-Term Academic Probation

- The majority of LEAD and non-LEAD students have not been placed on two-term academic probation. However, LEAD students (15\%) are slightly more likely than non-LEAD students (12\%) to be placed on academic probation.

Figure 13


Two-term academic probation occurs when a student a attempted at least 18 quarter units and had a cummulative GPA of 2.0 or lower for two consecutive terms.

## Credits Attempted vs. Credits Earned

- LEAD students attempt and earn more units than non-LEAD students. The median attempted unit is 96.5 for LEAD students compared to 85.5 for non-LEAD students.
- Yet, attempted units do not necessarily translate into earned units. The majority of LEAD students attempted between 90.0 and 119.9 units (28\%), but most of them earned 60.0 to 89.9 units ( $28 \%$ ).

|  | Attempted Units |  |  |  | Earned Units |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  | LEAD |  | Non-LEAD |  |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |
| 0.00-29.99 | 10 | 3\% | 6,480 | 18\% | 39 | 10\% | 9,946 | 28\% |
| 30.00-59.99 | 79 | 20\% | 6,906 | 20\% | 102 | 26\% | 7,182 | 20\% |
| 60.00-89.99 | 77 | 19\% | 5,006 | 14\% | 111 | 28\% | 5,242 | 15\% |
| 90.00-119.99 | 110 | 28\% | 5,977 | 17\% | 91 | 23\% | 6,846 | 19\% |
| 120.00-149.99 | 71 | 18\% | 5,447 | 15\% | 44 | 11\% | 4,446 | 13\% |
| 150.00 or more | 50 | 13\% | 5,533 | 16\% | 10 | 3\% | 1,687 | 5\% |
| Total | 397 | 100\% | 35,349 | 100\% | 397 | 100\% | 35,349 | 100\% |
| Mean | $\begin{gathered} 100.47 \\ 96.50 \end{gathered}$ |  | 89.21 |  | 77.49 |  | 68.84 |  |
| Median |  |  | 85.50 |  | 77.00 |  | 63.00 |  |

## Cumulative GPA

- LEAD students are less likely than non-LEAD students to have a cumulative GPA of 3.0 or higher, $43 \%$ vs. $47 \%$.

Figure 14


## Degree and Certificate Completion ${ }^{4}$

- LEAD and non-LEAD students attained degrees and certificate at varying rates: $10 \%$ and $13 \%$ (degree) and $1 \%$ and $2 \%$ (certificate).
- A total of 53 and 6,745 degrees/certificates were awarded to LEAD and non-LEAD students, respectively. Most of the degrees/certificates are from programs in Social and Behavioral Sciences and Business and CIS (tables 20 and 21).
- The certificate, Leadership and Social Change was given to 2 LEAD and 12 non-LEAD students.

[^3]Table 20:
Top 10 Degrees/Certificates Conferrred to LEAD Students

|  | Degree | LEAD | Percent |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Social \& Behavioral Sciences | 17 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| 2 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Business \& CIS | 9 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| 3 | Degree: Business Administration for Transfer | 5 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| 4 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Arts \& Letters | 5 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ |
| 5 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Science, Math \& Engineering | 4 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 6 | Degree: Kinesiology for Transfer | 2 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 7 | Degree: Political Science for Transfer | 2 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 8 | Certificate: Leadership \& Social Change | 2 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 9 | Degree: Accounting - Practice | 1 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| 10 | Degree: English | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

Table 21:
Top 10 Degrees/Certificates Conferrred to Non-LEAD Students

| Degree | Non-LEAD | Percent |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Social \& Behavioral Sciences | 1,292 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |
| 2 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Business \& CIS | 1,060 | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| 3 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Science, Math \& Engineering | 854 | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 4 | Degree: Liberal Arts - Arts \& Letters | 512 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 5 | Degree: Business Administration for Transfer | 442 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| 6 | Degree: Business Administration | 142 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| 7 | Degree: Biological Sciences | 98 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 8 | Degree: Communication Studies for Transfer | 91 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 9 | Certificate: Business Administration | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 10 | Certificate: Speech Communication | 82 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

Degree/Certificate Awarded by Ethnicity
The ethnicity of students who graduated was further examined to determine if they differed from their respective LEAD and non-LEAD ethnic makeup.

- The majority of LEAD students who graduated are Latino/a (51\%) and Asian (26\%).
- Latino/as account for $44 \%$ of LEAD students and represent $51 \%$ of its graduates.
- African Americans account for 4\% of LEAD and non-LEAD students, and they have a higher percentage of graduates among the LEAD group than non-LEAD group, 7\% vs. 5\%.
- For non-LEAD, most graduates consisted of Asians (36\%) and Latino/as (25\%).
- In general, the percentage of African American, Filipino and Latino/a non-LEAD graduates is comparable to their overall representation in the group.

Table 22: Degree/Certificate Awarded by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | LEAD |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  |
| African American | 17 | 4\% | 3 | 7\% | 18\% | 1,580 | 4\% | 246 | 5\% | 16\% |
| Asian | 120 | 30\% | 11 | 26\% | 9\% | 13,937 | 39\% | 1,795 | 36\% | 13\% |
| Filipino | 30 | 8\% | 2 | 5\% | 7\% | 2,486 | 7\% | 321 | 6\% | 13\% |
| Latino/a | 174 | 44\% | 22 | 51\% | 13\% | 8,733 | 25\% | 1,222 | 25\% | 14\% |
| White | 46 | 12\% | 5 | 12\% | 11\% | 6,595 | 19\% | 1,007 | 20\% | 15\% |
| Other | 10 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 2,025 | 6\% | 350 | 7\% | 17\% |
| Total | 397 | 100\% | 43 | 100\% | 11\% | 35,356 | 100\% | 4,941 | 100\% | 14\% |

Degree/Certificate Awarded by Ethnicity and Gender

- Across all ethnic groups, for both LEAD and non-LEAD groups, there is a higher percentage of female graduates than male graduates.
- Among the 22 Latino/a LEAD graduates, 16 or $73 \%$ are female.
- Similarly, among the 3 African American LEAD graduates, 2 or $67 \%$ are female.
- When comparing females across LEAD and non-LEAD groups, there is a higher percentage of female LEAD than female non-LEAD graduates, $70 \%$ vs. $55 \%$.

Table 23: Awarded Degree/Certificate by Ethnicity and Gender

| Ethnicity \& Gender | LEAD |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  |
| African American <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 11 6 | $65 \%$ $35 \%$ | 2 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | 765 808 | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \% \\ & 51 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 130 115 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 17 | 100\% | 3 | 100\% | - | 1,573 | 100\% | 245 | 100\% | - |
| Asian <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 54 66 | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 55 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & 36 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 6 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,253 \\ & 7,630 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \% \\ 55 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 992 \\ & 802 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ 45 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 11 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 120 | 100\% | 11 | 100\% | - | 13,883 | 100\% | 1,794 | 100\% | - |
| Filipino <br> Female <br> Male Subgroup Total | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13\% } \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,183 \\ & 1,286 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48\% } \\ & 52 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \% \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 30 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | - | 2,469 | 100\% | 321 | 100\% | - |
| Latino/a <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 118 <br> 56 | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \% \\ & 32 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 27 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 11 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,384 \\ & 4,308 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 50 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 727 \\ & 488 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 40 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & 11 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 174 | 100\% | 22 | 100\% | - | 8,692 | 100\% | 1,215 | 100\% | - |
| White <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 24 <br> 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & 48 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \% \\ 40 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 9 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,948 \\ & 3,611 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 55 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 522 \\ & 481 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & 48 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 13 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 46 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | - | 6,559 | 100\% | 1,003 | 100\% | - |
| Other <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 7 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & 30 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0\% } \\ & \text { 0\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 539 \\ & 612 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & 53 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ 92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \% \\ 45 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21\% } \\ & \text { 15\% } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 10 | 100\% | 0 | - | - | 1,151 | 100\% | 205 | 100\% | - |
| Total <br> Female <br> Male <br> Subgroup Total | 229 168 397 | $58 \%$ <br> $42 \%$ <br> $100 \%$ | 30 13 43 | $70 \%$ <br> $30 \%$ <br> $100 \%$ | $13 \%$ $8 \%$ | 16,072 <br> 18,255 <br> 34,327 | 47\% <br> 53\% <br> $100 \%$ | 2,649 <br> 2,134 <br> 4,783 | $55 \%$ <br> $45 \%$ <br> $100 \%$ | $16 \%$ $12 \%$ |
|  | 397 | 100\% | 43 | 100\% | - | 34,327 | 100\% | 4,783 | 100\% | - |

Degree/Certificate Completion by Low-Income and First-Generation College Earlier it was reported that there is a higher percentage of LEAD than non-LEAD students who are lowincome and first-generation college students. In tables 24 and 25 , their graduation outcomes are examined.

- Low-income students account for $26 \%$ of all LEAD students and $23 \%$ of its graduates.
- Among those who reported being low-income, a lower proportion of LEAD students (10\%) graduated compared to non-LEAD (13\%).

Table 24: Awarded Degree/Certificate by Low-Income Status

| Low-Income Status | LEAD |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  |
| Yes | 105 | 26\% | 10 | 23\% | 10\% | 7,009 | 20\% | 913 | 18\% | 13\% |
| No | 292 | 74\% | 33 | 77\% | 11\% | 27,492 | 78\% | 3,884 | 79\% | 14\% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 855 | 2\% | 144 | 3\% | 17\% |
| Total | 397 | 100\% | 43 | 100\% | - | 35,356 | 100\% | 4,941 | 100\% | - |

- First-generation college students account for $36 \%$ of all LEAD students and $44 \%$ of its graduates.
- Among those who reported they are a first-generation college student, the proportion of LEAD and non-LEAD who graduated is similar, $13 \%$ vs. $14 \%$.

Table 25: Awarded Degree/Certificate by First-Generation College

| First-Generation College Status | LEAD |  |  |  |  | Non-LEAD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion | All Students |  | Degree/Certificate |  | Proportion |
|  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  | Students | Percent | Students | Percent |  |
| Yes | 143 | 36\% | 19 | 44\% | 13\% | 8,610 | 24\% | 1,239 | 25\% | 14\% |
| No | 254 | 64\% | 24 | 56\% | 9\% | 25,891 | 73\% | 3,558 | 72\% | 14\% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 855 | 2\% | 144 | 3\% | 17\% |
| Total | 397 | 100\% | 43 | 100\% | - | 35,356 | 100\% | 4,941 | 100\% | - |

## Transfer

In order to determine the number of students who transferred to a four-year institution, a list of the 397 LEAD students was submitted to National Student Clearinghouse.

- 115 LEAD students transferred to a four-year college or university.
- $82 \%$ of the students transferred to a public institution located primarily in California.

Table 26: Four-Year Institution LEAD Students Transferred To

| State | College/University Name | Enrollment | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA | San Jose State University | 22 | 18\% |
| CA | University of California - Davis | 13 | 11\% |
| CA | San Francisco State University | 10 | 8\% |
| CA | California State University - East Bay | 9 | 8\% |
| CA | University of California - San Diego | 6 | 5\% |
| CA | University of California - Los Angeles | 5 | 4\% |
| CA | University of California - Berkeley | 4 | 3\% |
| CA | University of California - Santa Cruz | 4 | 3\% |
| CA | University of California - Irvine | 3 | 3\% |
| CA | University of California - Santa Barbara | 3 | 3\% |
| CA | University of San Francisco | 3 | 3\% |
| CA | California State University - Sacramento | 2 | 2\% |
| CA | National Hispanic University | 2 | 2\% |
| AZ | University of Arizona | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Academy of Art University | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Bakersfield | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Chico | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Fresno | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Fullerton | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Long Beach | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | California State University - Northridge | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Cogswell Polytechnical College | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Loyola Marymount University | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Marymount California University | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Menlo College | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Musicians Institute | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Pacific School of Religion | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | Santa Clara University | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | University of California - Riverside | 1 | 1\% |
| CA | University of San Diego | 1 | 1\% |
| CO | Regis University - Semesters | 1 | 1\% |
| FL | Florida International University | 1 | 1\% |
| HI | University of Hawaii at Manoa | 1 | 1\% |
| IL | University of Illinois at Urbana | 1 | 1\% |
| LA | Tulane University | 1 | 1\% |
| MD | University of Maryland - University College | 1 | 1\% |
| MN | University of Minnesota - Twin Cities | 1 | 1\% |
| NV | University of Nevada Las Vegas | 1 | 1\% |
| NY | Cornell University | 1 | 1\% |
| NY | The New School | 1 | 1\% |
| OH | Ohio University | 1 | 1\% |
| OR | Concordia University - Portland | 1 | 1\% |
| OR | Portland State University | 1 | 1\% |
| OR | University of Oregon | 1 | 1\% |
| PA | Drexel University | 1 | 1\% |
| TX | University of North Texas | 1 | 1\% |
| WV | University of Charleston | 1 | 1\% |
| Total |  | 120 | 100\% |

Note: Some students are enrolled at more than one 4-year institution.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hanover Research "Latino/a Empowerment at De Anza Program Evaluation." August 2015. Accessed June 26, 2016. https://www.deanza.edu/ir/deanza-research-projects/2015-16/LEAD\%20Program\%20Evaluation\%20-\%20Update-\%20De\%20Anza\%20Community\%20College.pdf

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Basic skills reflect the following courses: ESL 200, 234, 244, 251, 252, 253, 260, 261, 262, 263, 272, 273, 274, 280X; EWRT 200, 211, 274; LART 200, 211; READ 200, 211; and MATH 210, 212, 217.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ EWRT 200 (2 levels below transfer level) is not examined since there are no LEAD sections offered.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Degree/certificate outcomes are current as of October 14, 2016.

